

Student Name: Jacob Topham
Project No. and Title: Initial Assessment

Overall Grade: Good
Assessor(s): Lewis Blofeld
Date: 11/Sep/24

Exemplification for UAL Awarding Body Grade Criteria

This guide is to be used in conjunction with the assessment and grading criteria for UAL Awarding Body qualifications.

	Referral Work submitted fails to meet one or more of the assessment criteria and is of a poor standard	Satisfactory Work submitted meets all of the assessment criteria and is of a satisfactory standard	Good Work submitted meets all assessment criteria and is of a high standard	Excellent Work submitted meets all assessment criteria and is of a very high standard
Practical skills	Limited range of processes demonstrated, judgement and execution of techniques is poor.	Adequate range of processes, skills and knowledge demonstrated. Competent execution and application of techniques used to develop ideas.	Consistent and appropriate processes, skills and knowledge applied to extend enquiry and develop creative solutions.	In depth understanding and aesthetic awareness, imaginative and flexible processes, skills and knowledge applied in extensive enquiry to develop creative solutions.
Evaluation and reflection	Insufficient evidence of ongoing evaluation, lack of or only basic analysis and little or no justification for ideas.	Clearly communicated evidence of valid evaluation and realistic analysis independently used to inform and develop ideas.	Effective communication of analysis and interpretation, independent synthesis of information and application of reasoned decision making to inform development of ideas.	Accomplished and professional communication of perceptive analysis and interpretation, demonstrating clarity and sophistication in thinking and maturity in decision making to progress ideas.

Comments:

You submission demonstrates an excellent performance with confidence and clear skill. You have not only performed the guitar but sung, a song which is particularly hard. This shows your skill and potential. Some of the performance isn't the smoothest, the timing could be improved and there are other areas of performance to improve but overall this is an excellent submission.

The evaluation is really good but not quite excellent, you have identified areas to improve and explained how you will develop these but the detail in this section is a little lacking, but not by much. A little more work in this area will secure an excellent grade overall.

Please now set yourself three SMART targets to improve after receiving this feedback.