Student Name: Marcel Cervenak

Project No. and Title: Initial Assessment

Overall Grade: SATISFACTORY

Assessor(s): Nicolas Sykes

Date: 22/09/22

Exemplification for UAL Awarding Body Grade Criteria – Level 2

This guide is to be used in conjunction with the assessment and grading criteria for UAL Awarding Body qualifications at Level 2.

| | Referral Work submitted fails to meet one or more of the assessment criteria and is of a poor standard | Satisfactory Work submitted meets all of the assessment criteria and is of a satisfactory standard | Good Work submitted meets all assessment criteria and is of a high standard | Excellent Work submitted meets all assessment criteria and is of a very high standard |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Practical skills | Limited range of processes demonstrated, judgement and execution of techniques is poor. | Adequate range of processes, skills and knowledge demonstrated. Competent execution and application of techniques used to develop ideas. | Consistent and appropriate processes, skills and knowledge applied to extend enquiry and develop creative solutions. | In depth understanding and aesthetic awareness, imaginative and flexible processes, skills and knowledge applied in extensive enquiry to develop creative solutions. |
| Evaluation and reflection | Insufficient evidence of ongoing evaluation, lack of or only basic analysis and little or no justification for ideas. | Clearly communicated evidence of valid evaluation and realistic analysis independently used to inform and develop ideas. | Effective communication of analysis and interpretation, independent synthesis of information and application of reasoned decision making to inform development of ideas. | Accomplished and professional communication of perceptive analysis and interpretation, demonstrating clarity and sophistication in thinking and maturity in decision making to progress ideas. |

Comments:

Well done Marcel, you have completed this initial assessment to a satisfactory standard. Your initial assessment showcases your drumming ability successfully. You have a nice sound on the kit but there is still lot of room for improvement with certain rhythms, groove, feel and dynamics, however, this is a good overall performance of a challenging piece. You have mentioned that drum lessons will help you improve – they will as long as you dedicate time to practicing. Your video works but you could think about different camera angles in future, for example, overhead. You have completed a very brief written evaluation You have some ideas about ways to improve your performance. However, you need to spend more time on evaluations, and you could experiment with audio and video evaluations. You could use more subject specific terminology in future and proofread your work for spelling, grammar and punctuation. If you can match your written work with your practical work, you are capable of a high grade.

Please now set yourself three SMART targets to improve after receiving this feedback.