

**Student Name:** Tom Hall

**Project No. and Title:** 3 – Studio Practice

**Units Covered:** Unit 5 Music Production in Context  
Unit 6 Music Performance in Context

**Overall Grade:** **SATISFACTORY**

**Assessor:** Lewis Blofeld & Eric Hayes

**Date:** 22/02/23

### Exemplification for UAL Awarding Body Grade Criteria – Level 3

This guide is to be used in conjunction with the assessment and grading criteria for UAL Awarding Body qualifications at Level 3.

	<b>Referral</b> Work submitted fails to meet one or more of the assessment criteria and is of a poor standard	<b>Satisfactory</b> Work submitted meets all of the assessment criteria and is of a satisfactory standard	<b>Good</b> Work submitted meets all assessment criteria and is of a high standard	<b>Excellent</b> Work submitted meets all assessment criteria and is of a very high standard
<b>Context</b>	Limited understanding of subject context, lacking clarity in aims and purpose.	Understanding of subject context used appropriately to make judgments, describe aims and clarify purpose.	Good understanding and knowledge of subject context used to make sound judgments, articulate ambitions and clarify purpose.	Comprehensive understanding and knowledge of subject context used to communicate complex concepts, articulate ambitions and clarify purpose.
<b>Research</b>	Little or no evidence presented or information does not relate sufficiently to task.	Sufficient relevant information has been gathered, documented and used in the development of ideas.	Thorough and sustained research and investigation of relevant sources, interpretation and synthesis of information used to inform, support and develop ideas.	Independently identified, thorough and sustained research and investigation of a range of relevant sources, insightful interpretation and synthesis of information used to inform, support and develop ideas.
<b>Practical skills</b>	Limited range of processes demonstrated, judgement and execution of techniques is poor.	Adequate range of processes, skills and knowledge demonstrated. Competent execution and application of techniques used to develop ideas.	Consistent and appropriate processes, skills and knowledge applied to extend enquiry and develop creative solutions.	In depth understanding and aesthetic awareness, imaginative and flexible processes, skills and knowledge applied in extensive enquiry to develop creative solutions.
<b>Evaluation and reflection</b>	Insufficient evidence of ongoing evaluation, lack of or only basic analysis and little or no justification for ideas.	Clearly communicated evidence of valid evaluation and realistic analysis independently used to inform and develop ideas.	Effective communication of analysis and interpretation, independent synthesis of information and application of reasoned decision making to inform development of ideas.	Accomplished and professional communication of perceptive analysis and interpretation, demonstrating clarity and sophistication in thinking and maturity in decision making to progress ideas.

**Comments:**

Well done Tom, you have completed this project to a satisfactory standard. The work submitted meets all the assessment criteria and is of a satisfactory standard.

For your research you have explained what the equipment you have used is, but you haven't used any research to back this. Your research should be a collection of secondary references (i.e. websites, books) that explain what this equipment is, why it is used etc. More importantly your research should provide you an opportunity to learn new techniques in recording and mixing. Your mix process doesn't explain any aspect of the mixing, how did you EQ, compress, apply effects and balance the mix of the levels? The final track is good and is well balanced, you have identified the muddiness in your evaluation but how could this be solved? The evaluation is ok and provides some areas of improvement but could provide more solutions to the issues identified.